

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,413	07/09/2001	Eyal Krupka	884.433US1	7369
7590 11/16/2005 Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			EXAMINER	
			KIM, KEVIN	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2638	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	() K				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/901,413	KRUPKA, EYAL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Y. Kim	2638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Au	<u>ugust 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The Oath Open Company Street** 13. **The Oath Open Company Street** 14. **The Oath Open Company Street** 15. **The Oath Open Company Street** 16. **The Oath Open Company Street** 17. **The Oath Open Company Street** 18. **The Oath Open Company Street** 19. **	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/901,413 Page 2

Art Unit: 2638

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on August 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has provided an IEEE publication ("the Duel-Hallen reference") as a support for enabling the claimed element of "the reduced alphabet determination unit." However, nothing is found in this reference as to a structure or method for identifying a reduced alphabet. According to the specification, "a reduced complexity equalizer" generates an output signal and the reduced alphabet determination unit is said to identify a subset of symbols that are more likely than other symbols. Since no details of how this determination is made was not disclosed, a question was raised in the previous Office actions. And this amendment and argument is still insufficient to answer the question. A reasonable explanation of a way the determination is made would overcome the rejection of the pending claims.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 2638

Claim 1 recites "a reduced alphabet determination unit" and "a reduced alphabet MLSE equalizer." Claims 15 and 22 recite "determining a reduced alphabet" and "using a reduced alphabet MLSE equalizer." Claim 25 recites "a reduced state, full-alphabet MLSE equalizer" and "full-state, reduced alphabet MLSE equalizer." Claim 28 recites "means for determining, ..., a reduced symbol alphabet" and "a full-state MLSE equalizer." Identification of "a reduced alphabet" and "a reduced alphabet MLSE equalizer" are thus critical elements of the claimed invention. However, the specification including the drawings merely reiterates the claimed functions of these elements. Specifically, the drawings show no detailed structures for these elements. Fig.1, for instance, is a block diagram comprised of blocks with labels written inside pertaining to these elements. The specification also lacks the descriptions of these blocks. When known elements are employed, a detailed description is not required and a block diagram where each of the known elements may be represented as a block. But with respect to the present application, "reduced complexity equalizer" and "reduced alphabet MSLE equalizers" are not conventionally known equalizers but are described as the present invention. Thus, the specification fails to disclose a "reduced alphabet" equalizer structure that would have allowed one skilled in the art make the present invention. Since the present invention is drawn to a "reduced alphabet" equalizer, at least a distinction from conventional or "non-reduced alphabet" equalizer could have been made to enable one skilled in the art to reconstruct the present invention. Without sufficiently disclosed structures, it appears that one skilled in the art would not be able to make the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

The remaining claims are rejected for the same reason as they include the rejected base claims respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,413 Page 4

Art Unit: 2638

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kakura et al (US 6,366,612).

Kakura et al discloses a communication device, see Fig.1, comprising;

means (106) for receiving a communication signal from a communication channel means (107) for determining a reduced symbol alphabet that are more likely to be an

actual transmitted symbol than other symbols and

a full-state MLSE equalizer (109) for processing the communication signal based on the reduced symbol alphabet. See col.6, line 58 – col.7, line 6 in particular.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,413 Page 5

Art Unit: 2638

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEVIN KIM
PATENT EYAMINER

Ileun Ihim